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Marine and terrestrial molluscs from the early Holocene site of S’ ‘Omu ‘e s’Orcu (Arbus, Sardinia, Italy)

Francesco Mascia
Facoltà di Scienze MMFFNN, Università di Cagliari, Via Trentino 51, 09147 Cagliari, Sardinia, Italy
Email: fr.maxia@gmail.com

In Sardinia there are few sites referred to the early Holocene period that confirm the presence of a human population on the island. Between 2007 and 2008, an important excavation was carried out by the University of Cagliari and the University of Rome (“La Sapienza”) at the funerary site of S’ ‘Omu ‘e s’Orcu, Arbus (VS, south-western Sardinia). This site, which was
found in 1985, is situated near the homonymous stream's mouth on a stretch of coast characterised by palaeociffs of Pleistocene eolianites and wide dune fields surrounded by rugged Palaeozoic mountains. It forms a complex lithostratigraphic sequence inserted in a rock shelter in Pleistocene eolianites (Melis et al., 2007). Radiocarbon dating carried out on human remains and charcoal fragments allowed the burials to be referred to the early Holocene (8500 years BC). The lithic industry included only some flint and obsidian débitage material (Floris et al., 2009). Archaeomalacological elements were investigated during the analysis of material collected from the stratigraphic sequence and the zoological remains from burials. The results of this study are shown in detail with the present contribution.

Material and methods
The malacofauna retrieved during the archaeological surveys was studied from ten squares of the site. ND localisation refers to material handpicked during the first excavation campaign that was not assigned to any square. Species determination was carried out at the Department of Geology in the University of Cagliari by comparison with recent specimens and with the aid of relevant literature. For gastropods, the Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) was measured following Ložek’s (1964) count of apical portions.

Results
Malacological remains from S’ Omu ‘e s’Orcu include a terrestrial land snail community and a marine element represented by specimens found in the burial areas.

Terrestrial element
A total of 68 specimens referred to seven different taxa and four families were identified.

Family Clausiliidae
Cochlodina kuesteri (Rossmässler, 1836). D2: one shell fragment.

Family Subulinidae
Rumina decollata (Linnaeus, 1758). B3: one; B4: one apical fragment; C2: one juv.; C3: two juv., one apical fragment; D2: seven juv. + seven; D3: three juv. + six; ND: four juv. + two.

Family Hygromiidae
Cernuella cf. cisalpina (Rossmässler, 1837). B3: one.
Xerotricha conspurcata (Draparnaud, 1801). D3: one juv. + one.
Hygromiinae n.d. F3/F2: one, probably Xerosecta sp. Species differentiation within the genus Cernuella and related taxa is not possible by conchological analysis alone (Manganelli and Giusti, 1987).

Family Helicidae
Cantareus apertus (Born, 1778). D3: one.
Cornu aspersum (O.F. Müller, 1774). B3: one; B4: one; ND: two.
Eobania vermiculata (O.F. Müller, 1774). B4: one + one apical fragment; C3: one; C5+C6: one; D3: two; D4: two; F3/F2: one; ND: ten + two apical fragments.
Helicidae n.d. B4: one apical fragment; D2: one apical fragment; ND: one juv.

Marine element
Seven taxa referred to six families were identified from the burials.

GASTROPODA
Family Patellidae
Patella rustica Linnaeus, 1758. ND: two damaged specimens.
Patella sp. ND: one damaged fragment.
Family Cassidae
Cassidae n.d. ND: one small fragment painted with red ochre.

Family Ranellidae
Charonia lampas (Linnaeus, 1758). SOMK1: one large specimen with a broken apex, completely covered with ochre.

Family Columbellidae
Columbella rustica (Linnaeus, 1758). C5+C6: four shells perforated artificially.

BIVALVIA
Family Glycymerididae
Glycymeris sp. C5+C5: six small fragments; ND: two small fragments. Painted with red ochre.

Family Mytilidae
Mytilus sp. ND: four damaged fragments.

Remarks
The results represent one of the most ancient archaeomalacological assemblages from a Sardinian funerary site. Malacological assemblages from four other Sardinian sites referred to the early Holocene have not yet been studied.

The continental thanatocoenosis of S’ ‘Omu ‘e s’Orcu is paucispecific and poor in small-sized species: this is due on one hand to the sampling methods used during the excavation campaign and on the other hand to the coarse sandy matrix of the eolianites that does not allow the preservation of small terrestrial shell remains. The taxonomic composition and in particular the abundance of mesophilous and mesoxerophilous taxa suggest the presence of a vegetation mosaic of shrubby and open habitats. Nevertheless, the intrusive nature of some specimens (such as the many R. decollata shells) cannot be ruled out entirely.

Marine species covered with red ochre and situated close to human remains (also painted with pigment, Floris et al., 2009) clearly indicated their use as grave goods. In particular, the presence of the C. lampas specimen with a broken apex (apparently not modified artificially) is of great interest and this may suggest its use as a container or musical instrument, as has been recorded in other prehistoric sites in Europe (Cortese et al., 2004; Pauc and Strangi, 2009). Artificially perforated C. rustica specimens represent the most ancient shell artefacts from a Sardinian site.
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Introduction and limited spread of *Papillifera bidens* in Catalonia
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The clausiliid land snail *Papillifera bidens* (Linnaeus, 1758) is a strict calciphile rupicolous species with a wide range in the Mediterranean region. It is usually abundant on rocky hillsides and old walls. In dry weather it usually hides in crevices or under stones. Although it is native to a small area in the central Mediterranean, through millennia of navigation it has been dispersed passively through transportation of plant material and stonework (Örstan, 2006; Mienis and Gümus, 2007, 2009; Gümus and Mienis, 2010; Nordsieck, 2011). It has recently been located in the south of England, likely introduced through the importation of statuary from Italy in the 18th and 19th centuries (Ridout-Sharpe, 2005, 2010), and very recently into southern Germany (Rosenbauer, 2011). In warm limestone regions it is well established, while it remains very localised in northern sites. Herewith I discuss the species’ taxonomy and its occurrence in Catalonia, Spain, an interesting area that has also been treated by Gümus and Mienis (2010) and Nordsieck (2011).

The correct name is *Papillifera bidens*

Three nomenclatural points must be addressed in the first place, concerning the species name that should be used according to the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, the citation of a relevant early work, and the type species of the genus.

An issue that has caused much trouble is the confusion of the species’ identity in its original description. Linnaeus (1758: 767) describes *Turbo bidens* as a terrestrial species from southern Europe diagnosed thus: “*T. testa turrita pellucida: anfractibus contrariis sutura subcrenata, apertura postice bidendata*”. Along with this brief diagnosis he refers to figure C on plate 4 of Gualtierius (1742). Now, from the Linnean text it is obvious that it contains an error (either typographical or *lapsus calami*), because the above-mentioned traits are not depicted on figure C, being instead recognisable in figures D and E of that same plate (as already noticed by Schröter, 1784). Nevertheless, Forcart (1965) considers that the original description should be based solely on the reference to the illustration mentioned, and thus would not correspond clearly with the species. With this awkward reasoning, he proposed to use the name *Helix papillaris* Müller, 1774. Falkner *et al.* (2002) noticed the mistake and proposed to designate as neotype for *Turbo bidens* the specimen in Gualtierius’ figure E. Such action is amazing, because it would have been of better use to designate an actual specimen. After a long argument, the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature included this name through Opinion 2176 in the Official List of Species Names in Zoology, and decided that the name *Helix papillaris* should not be maintained (ICZN, 2007).

However, the validity of the designated neotype was not clarified. This loophole was used by Kadolsky (2009) to propose a new neotype, considering that the Linnean text would in fact refer to Gualtierius’ figure C, and that this would then actually be what is currently called *Cochlodina incisa* (Küster, 1876) from northern Italy. Such an interpretation is rather convoluted, adding unnecessary complexity to what seemed to be a closed issue. Moreover, Nordsieck (2011) has shown that it is not reasonable to interpret the Linnean diagnosis in a way such that “*sutura subcrenata*” could refer to the nearly smooth suture of *C. incisa*. 

---

Indeed, Gualtierius did not mention this character for either species, which is actually not shown in his figures. This fact underlines the precedence of the description given by Linnaeus over whatever (mistaken) reference to a previously published figure. Thus the designation of the second neotype is unacceptable and the species name must be *Papillifera bidens* (Linnaeus, 1758).

A minor issue that also needs clarification refers to the name used by Niccolò Gualtieri in his early impressive work. In the Latin title he is referred to as Nicolai Gualtieri, but this is because his name appears in the genitive case. After a beautiful frontispiece with male divers offering seashells to a resting Venus, all surrounded by little angels that study shells with the aid of hand lens, compass and ruler, there is a whole-page portrait of the author with periwig. Below this portrait the name Nicolaus Gualterius appears. It seems clear that there is a typographical erratum, because it should actually be Gualtierius, the Latinized form of the Italian family name Gualtieri that actually appears in the book's title. Thus the work must be cited as Gualtierius (1742).

The type species of *Papillifera* Hartmann 1842 is *P. solida*, through subsequent designation by Lindholm (1924). Although *P. papillaris* had been already designated as the type species of the genus by Albers and Martens (1860), this species is not included among those originally included in the genus by Hartmann (1840-1844), who referred to a list of nine species grouped into an unnamed section by Pfeiffer (1841).

**Taxonomy and distribution of Papillifera**

The genus *Papillifera* is native to a quite small area encompassing the southern third of the Italian peninsula, the neighbouring islands of Sicily and Malta, and adjacent smaller islands and islets (Giusti et al., 1996; Nordsieck, 2007). It includes two species, *P. bidens* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *P. solida* (Draparnaud, 1805), both exhibiting subtle variations among populations. According to Nordsieck (2007, 2011), two main groups can be distinguished within *P. bidens*: those having a peristome that is almost always united in its upper part to the last whorl, and those where the peristome is clearly detached, providing a trumpet shape to the whole aperture.

The former group constitutes the subspecies *P. bidens bidens*, which is probably native only to the southernmost continental regions of Puglia and Basilicata, although it now also lives in anthropic environments throughout the Italian peninsula, as well as in several coastal cities on the northern Mediterranean shores. Besides the peristome, *P. b. bidens* is characterised by exhibiting a less developed basal keel, the subcolumellar lamella is more or less sunken inside the aperture (often to the point of being invisible in frontal view) and the surface sculpture is barely marked.

The second group, *P. bidens affinis* (Philippi, 1836), includes a complex of forms living in the south of Calabria, Sicily and Malta. Within this group, several more or less defined phylogeographic units can be distinguished and deserve closer attention (Boettger, 1878; Paulucci, 1878). This subspecies has been introduced throughout the central and southern Mediterranean region: Tunisia and Algeria, Sardinia, southern Greece, the Balearic Islands, and Gibraltar and Catalonia in the Iberian peninsula (Gasull, 1964; Altaba, 1993; Örstan, 2006; Menez, 2007; Mienis and Güümüş, 2007, 2009; Güümüş and Mienis, 2010; Nordsieck, 2011).

**Populations in Catalonia**

In Catalonia, *Papillifera bidens* has been recorded since the earliest malacological surveys, given that it was found in the cities of Barcelona and Tarragona (Haas, 1929). However,
samples from each of these two cities (and nearby places) actually belong to separate subspecies (Nordsieck, 2007, 2011).

The findings of *Papillifera bidens* in Tarragona were always limited to the ancient city walls. Tarraco was indeed one of the most important cities of the Roman Empire, and its great walls were built on top of pre-existing Iberian defences. The latter are the so-called "cyclopean walls", mentioned by Bofill i Poch (1917). Direct relationships existed between Tarraco and Rome, thus it is not surprising that the subspecies introduced here was *P. b. bidens*, which is common in old buildings throughout much of central Italy. A later arrival can be dismissed, because the species has never been collected on the Medieval city walls or any other post-Roman building.

As has often been observed, in many places where it was introduced *P. b. bidens* proved unable to become invasive, remaining instead limited to the site of arrival. However, the species was found at El Vendrell by Bofill (1888). This town is located inland from Tarragona, so it is likely that *P. b. bidens* was carried from there. No further records exist in that area, so this secondary introduction was probably short-lived.

In 1979 I found *P. b. bidens* at another site inland of Tarragona. The monastery of Santes Creus is of late Medieval origin, but it was used as the prison for the city of Tarragona between 1870 and 1921 (Aguilera, 2009). The ensuing reforms and transportation of materials from the coastal city probably account for this isolated occurrence.

Early malacologists were puzzled by the presence of *Papillifera* in Barcelona, so far from Italy, and thus described two presumed endemics: *Clausilia catalonica* Fagot, 1884 and *Clausilia (Papillifera) virgata [=bidens] var. barcinensis* Westerlund, 1893, the latter considered a variety of the former by Westerlund (1901) as *Clausilia catalonica* f. *barcinensis*. As shown by Bofill i Poch (1917, 1919), these names are actually synonyms of *P. bidens*. More recently, Nordsieck (2007, 2011) and Gümüş and Mienis (2010) have shown that the Barcelona snails belonged to *P. bidens affinis*.

In the old Ciutadella and the castle in Montjuïc, two fortresses built after Catalonia's defeat in 1714 in order to control Barcelona, *P. bidens* was abundant in the late 19th century. Much of the material used for building those two fortresses came from the razing of old quarters of the city. Thus it can be safely assumed that the species was present in Barcelona much earlier. The Ciutadella was demolished by 1878 and the castle was included in a major remodelling of Montjuïc hill for the Universal Exhibit in 1929. The species has never been recorded again from Barcelona. It must be noted that the timing of its introduction is unlikely to have been in the Roman Empire, because Bercino was a minor city separated from the sea by extensive lagoons. Moreover, more important Roman cities like Baetulo (present Badalona) existed on the coast, yet *P. bidens* has never been recorded there.

An interesting locality of *P. bidens affinis* is the castle of Gelida, above the small village of that name inland from Barcelona. The castle is not Roman and its construction started in the 9th century. It formed part of a defence line along the Catalan-Muslim border that lasted for nearly three centuries until the late 1100s, dividing what would become Old and New Catalonia. Once it lost its strategic position, it was progressively abandoned. In 1367 a banker from Barcelona, named Berenguer Bertran, bought the ruined castle from the noble family that had inherited that possession and rebuilt it as a sumptuous palace. This palace was destroyed soon after 1714, so thoroughly that in 1780 the town's chaplain asked to use the stones for building the bell tower, and obviously did so (Monreal and Riquer, 1958). Thus
there was only once an input of materials that could carry the snails to Gelida, which most
probably came along with stonework directly from Barcelona.

A similar case might have taken place in the Ermita de Sant Onofre, near Santa Coloma de
Gramenet in the outskirts of Barcelona, where *P. bidens affinis* was found by Vilella (1967).
That small hermitage was founded in 1501 under the patronage of rich merchants from nearby
Barcelona (Aymar i Ragolta, 2003). Thus the land snails were probably also carried over with
stonework from the city.

So, the arrival of *P. bidens affinis* in Barcelona must have taken place after the fall of Rome in
the 5th century and before the works at Gelida in the late 14th century. An obvious possibility
is after the Catalans' arrival at Sicily in 1282, starting a close relationship that would last for
five centuries. Land snails could have been carried along this new pathway and this may
account for the presence of the Sicilian *Murella muralis* on the island of Menorca (Altaba,
1991). However, *P. bidens affinis* is just one of a handful of originally Sicilian (and south
Italian) species of land snails occurring in the Balearics since at least Roman times. In
exchange, no Balearic species was introduced into Sicily. This asymmetry can be explained
taking into account that pre-Roman Sicily had major merchant cities of either Greek or
Carthaginian fidelity. From there vessels would travel throughout the Mediterranean, trading
goods on beaches with locals. Thus, the landed snails were directly placed on natural habitats,
while those returning to Sicily arrived at inhospitable urban harbours (Altaba, 1991, 1999,
2000). *P. bidens affinis* thus arrived on the Balearics and spread widely into all kinds of
agricultural and human environments, in stark contrast to what happened in continental
settings. This may be due to the insular environment lacking natural enemies that are common
on the continent (Altaba, 2004). Eventually, from the Balearics, *P. bidens affinis* would have
been carried to Barcelona. This path was also followed by the Mallorcan endemic helicid
*Iberellus companyoni*, which survived in Medieval buildings in Barcelona until the early 20th
century (Haas, 1929).

The record from Lleida given by Gümüş and Mienis (2010) on the basis of a shell collected in
1934 is probably wrong. Although summers there are hot and dry, just as they are in Sicily,
winters are very cold and foggy, often with several days of unbroken mist, making the
survival of this coastal Mediterranean species unlikely. There has never been any other record
from that inland city. Indeed, I have never collected it there, in spite of focusing on old walls
and ruins, and it is assumed that the record from Lleida was based on an error in labelling.

The presence of *Papillifera bidens affinis* in Barcelona and nearby sites in central Catalonia is
not due to dispersal during Roman times. Instead it was carried over from Sicily in a stepping-
stone fashion through the Balearics, first in pre-Roman times and then in the late Middle
Ages. The existence of *P. b. bidens* in Tarragona can be traced to direct importation from
Imperial Rome, followed later on by local spread, again in a stepping-stone fashion.
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Molluscs from two test pits at a Pottery Neolithic site near Hazorea, Jezreel Valley, Israel
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More than 15 years ago two test pits were dug into two adjacent sites near Hazorea, Jezreel Valley, Israel. Both sites, “Anati” and “Electricity Pole”, are so close to each other that they most probably form part of one continuous complex dating back to the Pottery Neolithic period. Among the zoological remains found in those pits were several molluscs, which are dealt with in this report.

The shell finds
The molluscs recovered from the test pits are enumerated in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1: Molluscs found in a test pit at the site “Anati” near Hazorea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basket</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Identification</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PN</td>
<td>Erosaria spurca</td>
<td>1 shell with the dorsum removed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Helix (Pelasga) engaddensis</td>
<td>1 shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PN</td>
<td>Melanopsis buccinoidea</td>
<td>1 shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Helix (Pelasga) engaddensis</td>
<td>2 fragments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PN</td>
<td>Melanopsis buccinoidea</td>
<td>1 shell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glycymeris insubrica</td>
<td>1 fragment of the ventral margin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>PN-WR</td>
<td>Melanopsis buccinoidea</td>
<td>2 shells</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Molluscs found in a test pit at the site “Electricity Pole” near Hazorea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basket</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Identification</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>WR</td>
<td>Hexaplex trunculus</td>
<td>1 shell with a large hole in the body whorl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>WR</td>
<td>Unio terminalis terminalis</td>
<td>1 small fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>WR</td>
<td>Unio mancus eucirrus</td>
<td>1 fragment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>WR</td>
<td>Phalium granulatum undulatum</td>
<td>1 fragment of the outer lip</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion and conclusion
The 13 shells or fragments were identified as belonging to eight different species, which had their origin in three different biotopes: terrestrial, freshwater and marine.

The land snail *Helix (Pelasga) engaddensis* Bourguignat, 1852 is still living quite commonly at the site today and part of the material might be of recent origin, since this species burrows deeply into the soil in order to aestivate.

The gastropod *Melanopsis buccinoidea* (Olivier, 1801) and the mussels *Unio terminalis terminalis* Bourguignat, 1852 and *Unio mancus eucirrus* Bourguignat, 1857 are inhabitants of perennial freshwater biotopes. *Melanopsis* is still living today in local springs. The freshwater mussels originated without doubt from the nearby Qishon River; unfortunately both species disappeared from this stream due to pollution in the 20th century.

*Erosaria spurca* (Linnaeus, 1758), *Phalium granulatum undulatum* (Gmelin, 1791), *Hexaplex trunculus* (Linnaeus, 1758) and *Glycymeris insubrica* (Brocchi, 1814) are all from the Mediterranean Sea. The single *Hexaplex* is of a particular form (*pseudopagodus*) not known to occur today in the Eastern Mediterranean.

Some of the marine shells show signs of manipulation. The dorsum of the shell of *Erosaria spurca* was artificially removed by man. Such altered cowry shells have functioned as shell beads or as votive objects throughout the history of mankind. The body whorl of the *Hexaplex trunculus* shows a large man-made hole, turning it into a pendant or other ornamental item. The outer lip of *Phalium granulatum undulatum* is also a classic example of man-made alterations (Reese, 1989), although the purpose of these so-called ‘cassid lips’ is still surrounded with uncertainties.

A further excavation at the site may considerably boost our still imperfect knowledge of the role of molluscs during the Pottery Neolithic in Israel.
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A Chalcolithic site (4000-3150 BC) near the Shoqet Junction, Negev, Israel, was excavated by Y. Guvrin of the Israel Antiquities Authority (permit # 1417). Among the archaeozooological finds were some 20 remains of molluscs. These shells are dealt with in this report.
The archaeomalacological finds
The material consisted of 11 samples comprising 20 shells or fragments, belonging to four species.

GASTROPODA
Family Hygromiidae
*Xerocrassa seetzenii seetzenii* (Pfeiffer, 1847). Locus 15, basket 77: three shells; locus 17, basket 90: three shells.

Family Helicidae
*Helix* (*Pelasga*) *engaddensis* Bourguignat, 1852. Locus 4, basket 58: two shells; locus 14, basket 82: one shell; locus 15, basket 77: one shell; locus 17, basket 90: one shell; locus 17, basket 96: one shell; locus 19, basket 84: one shell.

BIVALVIA
Family Glycymerididae
*Glycymeris insubrica* (Brocchi, 1814). Locus 4, basket 58: one valve with a man-made hole in the umbo; locus 4, basket 76: one valve with a man-made hole in the umbo; locus 14, basket 74: one damaged valve, burnt; locus 17, basket 86: one valve with a man-made hole in the umbo.

Family Mutelidae
*Chambardia rubens arcuata* (Cailliaud, 1823). Locus 4, basket 76: one disintegrated fragment in four tiny pieces; locus 17, basket 87: one fragment; locus 17, basket 96: one fragment.

Discussion and conclusion
The 20 remains of molluscs recovered during the excavation of the Chalcolithic site near the Shoqet Junction were identified as belonging to four species, namely two species of land snails (*Xerocrassa seetzenii seetzenii* and *Helix engaddensis*), one species of marine bivalve (*Glycymeris insubrica*) and one species of freshwater mussel (*Chambardia rubens arcuata*). While the land snails both represent local species and might even be of recent origin, *Glycymeris* and *Chambardia* are, respectively, from the Mediterranean Sea and the River Nile in Egypt.

Interestingly, the same *Helix*, *Glycymeris* and *Chambardia* species were the only molluscs found during the excavation of a Chalcolithic site near Ben Shemen (Mienis, 1980). The Nilotic mussel has been recorded from two other Chalcolithic sites in the vicinity of the Shoqet Junction, Tell Abu Matar and Horvat Beter, which points to the existence of an intensive exchange of cultural items with areas as far away as the River Nile during the Chalcolithic period.

The valves of *Glycymeris* were used most probably as pendants. However, the *Chambardia* material is too fragmentary to allow any further interpretation concerning its possible use at the site.
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Excavations at Ma'ale Shaharut, east of the Uvda Valley, Israel by Uzi Avner (Israel Antiquities Authority) in 1986 and 1987 (Avner, 1986, 1989) yielded a number of archaeomolluscal remains. These shells consisted of 55 beads made out of tusk shells (Scaphopoda) found in an Early Bronze Age burial (I), while 14 additional shells were encountered in a Middle Bronze Age burial (V). The latter belonged to at least seven different taxa. This molluscan material is here enumerated in systematic order.

GASTROPODA
Family Neritidae
_Nerita (Theliostyla) sanguinolenta_ Menke, 1829. Burial V; basket 6: three shells with a man-made hole left of the columellar area, and one complete specimen. All belonged to the black-and-white colour morph.

Family Strombidae
_Canarium mutabilis_ (Swainson, 1821). Burial V; basket 6: one very small adult specimen with a man-made hole just behind the lip of the aperture.

Family Columbellidae
_Mitrella albina_ (Kiener, 1841). Burial V; basket 6: three shells all with a man-made hole behind the lip of the aperture. A single one also showed a second, natural hole in the body whorl.

Family Mitridae
_Mitra (Nebularia) rueppellii_ Reeve, 1844. Burial V; basket 6: one shell with a man-made hole behind the lip.

Family Costellariidae
_Vexillum (Costellaria) cadaverosum_ (Reeve, 1844). Burial V; basket 6: one shell with a man-made hole behind the lip.

SCAPHOPODA
Family Dentaliidae

UNSPECIFIED
Mollusc species. Burial V; basket 6: one bead made from a shell. This bead was so abraded that the source of the shell material could not be identified.

Some remarks
All the material which could be identified to specific level belonged to commonly encountered species in the Red Sea, more particularly in the nearby Gulf of Aqaba.

All of the gastropods, except one, had been transformed into shell beads by either perforating the shells behind the lip of the aperture (Canarium, Mitrella, Mitra and Vexillum) or left of the columellar area (Nerita). The Dentalium beads consisted of small pieces ranging in size from 3 to 7 mm and showed traces where the longitudinal ribs on the shells had been filed away.
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This book presents a broad and up-to-date discussion on the social and symbolic significance of Spondylus shells in archaeological contexts in Europe and the New World. It presents new data, new methodologies and new interpretations. The core of the book consists of papers that were presented at a special session on Spondylus at the EAA meeting held at Zadar, Croatia, in September 2007 (see AMG Newsletter, No. 11: 12).
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Archaeologists routinely excavate the remains of animals and plants from prehistoric sites. In recent years, efforts have been made to use those remains to provide historical baselines for conservation biology, an approach referred to as ‘applied archaeozoology’. This monograph offers a unique contribution to the field by compiling all available data on freshwater mussel shells from archaeological sites in the state of Mississippi, USA. Over 77,000 shells representing 69 taxa are reported for 42 sites in 18 counties, with species range maps and photographs of representative specimens. Broad patterns in space and time in shell-bearing sites are discussed. In particular, basic size and form data are provided for over 60 freshwater...
mussel ‘shell rings’ in the Mississippi River alluvial valley, with aerial photographs of several of these remarkable sites.

Abstracts

ABSTRACT: Excavations at the PPNB site of Tell Aswad in Syria from 2001-2007 yielded more than 238 beads, of which 46 (19.3%) were made of shell. These included 14 cowries: 12 *Erosaria spurca* and one *Luria lurida* from the Mediterranean and one *Monetaria moneta* from the Red Sea. Three principal forms of modification were defined: dorsum removal (13 shells), perforations (five shells) and incisions (two shells). Grinding and hammering techniques were identified for the first category, and drilling for ventral perforations. In one shell, holes were made by percussion on the non-removed dorsum. Relatively deep and long incisions had been engraved on the ventral and lateral faces of two specimens: in one case the incisions were arranged radially around the aperture in such a way as to emphasise the natural teeth. This is the first report of engraved cowry shells from the Neolithic Near East. Local surface wear on some of the shells could indicate the mode of attachment or threading of the beads.

ABSTRACT: A valve of *Glycymeris bimaculata* excavated from the Upper Palaeolithic level of the Ksar Akil rock shelter in Lebanon in the 1940s has been re-examined and shown to have been modified using the lithic technique of edge retouch to form a scraper-type tool. Dating indicated that this shell was older (~37 ka BP) than its context (~30 ka BP), suggesting that a sub-fossil shell had been selected for modification.

ABSTRACT: Marine shell carbonates are often considered problematic for radiocarbon dating for reasons including the reservoir effect and uncertainties over its quantification and temporal variability, the calibration of the radiocarbon age, the hard-water effect, and the possibilities of samples being derived from fossil sources. However, the state of preservation, in chemical and physical terms, is the key parameter affecting the radiocarbon dating of shell carbonates. The state of the art is reviewed, with reference to recent developments at the Oxford Radiocarbon Accelerator Unit (ORAU), University of Oxford. New protocols include more effective pretreatment and rigorous screening to determine whether or not marine shells have been diagenetically altered and are suitable for dating. The application of the new approaches to the current dating of the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic transition is discussed, with a view to elucidating the Neanderthal extinction and the initial dispersal of anatomically modern humans along the Mediterranean rim. (Based on authors’ summary.)

ABSTRACT: Twenty beach deposits exposed on coastal cliffs around Cyprus comprised Pleistocene sediments, four of which contained *Persististrombus latus*, an index fossil for the
MIS 5e high sea stand in the Mediterranean. Uplift values for the north and west coasts (16 and 12 m in the last 125 ka, respectively) were higher than in the south (6 m) but the inferred tectonic uplift during the last 12 ka (the date of the earliest known human colonisation of the island) has only been about 1 m. Post-glacial sea level rise in that period was about 50 m and represents the dominant factor in coastal modification. The porous Pleistocene deposits overlies impervious Pliocene marls and the spring line thus formed may have attracted the earliest Neolithic settlements.


ABSTRACT: Nine shells were recovered from the excavation of a Byzantine street (cardo) and church in Jerusalem. Of these, three *Pinctada margaritifera* from the Red Sea and two *Chambardia rubens arcuata* and one *Mutela dubia nilotica* from the River Nile are thought to have been prized for their mother-of-pearl and to have been imported over distances of at least 242 and 450 km, respectively. Three shells, comprising beach-worn *Hexaplex trunculus* and *Glycymeris glycymeris pilosa* shells and a possibly fresh *Ostrea edulis*, had been brought from the Mediterranean Sea 55 km away. The shells cannot be absolutely assigned to a single period but fall within the Herodian-Byzantine-Crusader-Mamluk time span.


ABSTRACT: This chapter presents a catalogue of the faunal remains recovered from the excavation of several buildings in a Middle and Late Minoan settlement on the island of Pseira in north-east Crete. Marine invertebrates comprised the most important food source after fish. *Patella* predominated in five samples of 296, 661, 291, 210 and 169 remains (45.5-79.4%), followed by *Monodonta [=Osilinus] (2.4-14.8%) and Hexaplex trunculus (4.1-10%). Other marine species (including 23 species of gastropods, 14 of bivalves, one cephalopod and one chiton) accounted for 11-30.2% of the samples. Most of these occurred in low numbers; more frequent species included *Columbella* (68), *Pisania* (31), *Littorina* (30), *Tonna* (16) and *Charonia* (11). Six *Tonna galea* and two *Semicassis saburon*, which had all been collected live, were found in a group on a Late Minoan paved surface. One *Charonia* was complete with a slightly broken apex, length 255 mm. A few fossil bivalves were also found.


ABSTRACT: The excavations at Umm al-Biyara produced 119 marine shells, comprising 106 cowries (mostly *Cypraea annulus [=Monetaria annulus]*), four *Glycymeris*, two *Tridacna*, two *Conus*, one *Turbo radiatus* operculum, one *Cerithium erythraeonense*, one *Strombus decorus persicus*, one cassid and one disintegrated shell. All these species originated in the Red Sea. Most (68%) of the shells came from Phase 1 and were dated to the 7th-6th centuries BC. Of the cowries, 69 were unholed, 21 had been holed by grinding the dorsum and 16 were not seen by the author. All four *Glycymeris* were holed at the umbo, two of them by grinding. The cerith was a worn shell with a carefully made hole opposite the mouth and was probably worn as a pendant. The other shells were unworked.

ABSTRACT: The shell assemblage from the Lower City Enclosure at Amorium is dated to the Medieval period (6th-11th centuries) and provides rare evidence for the use of both imported marine and local freshwater molluscs in inland Anatolia in historical times. Sixteen marine shells (two Bolinus brandaris, two Hexaplex trunculus (one holed), two Cerithium vulgatum, one Luria lurida (holed), one Tonna galea, one Acanthocardia tuberculata, one Glycymeris insubrica (holed), five Mytilus galloprovincialis and one Ostrea edulis), 41 freshwater bivalves (four Anodonta cf. palustris and 37 Unio sp.) and one mother-of-pearl inlay (possibly Pinctada margaritifera) were recorded.


ABSTRACT: This chapter discusses the organic remains that were recovered from the excavation of individual houses and rooms in a Late Minoan settlement on the island of Mochlos, north-east Crete, and which are catalogued elsewhere. There were some large deposits of shells, mostly representing food species: of 812 shells found in the North Corridor leading to Houses M and B (LMII-III), 387 were Patella, 314 were Monodonta [=Osilinus] and 37 were ‘Murex’. This deposit also included a holed Conus. Murex shells were frequently associated with limpets and topshells and were the third most frequent shell type. Also recorded were Glycymeris (one, water-worn and holed), several Charonia (including almost complete shells that could have been used as scoops), an Astraea operculum, and numbers of Cerithium, Nassarius and Pisania.


ABSTRACT: Six shell beads (four Conus holed at the apex, one Glycymeris holed at the umbo and one Monodonta [=Osilinus] ground down at the apex to form a ring blank) were found in LMIII deposits in a Late Minoan settlement on the island of Mochlos, north-east Crete. Four of the beads came from House A and may have belonged to a single necklace. Six Charonia sequenzae [=C. variegata], of which five shells were virtually complete, appeared to have been collected live for food; one of them and a Tonna galea shell were found as tomb offerings, as were two fossil (Pliocene/Pleistocene) gastropods.

Conferences

Past conferences

Association for Environmental Archaeology, Autumn Meeting 2011

This meeting was held at the VU University, Amsterdam, on 21-22 October 2011 with the theme ‘Subsistence and surplus production’.

The first session, ‘Self-subsistent societies’, was opened with a presentation by James Walker (Durham University, UK) entitled Finding the famine? An integrated approach to testing hypotheses of shellfish as a starvation food. Applying stable oxygen isotope analysis on
periwinkles (*Littorina littorea*) from Mesolithic shell middens on the island of Oronsay, Argyll, Scotland, he was able to show that harvesting took place during the season when, according to studies on modern populations, their flavour and calorific value were both at a peak. He concluded that the periwinkles had been preferentially harvested, thus challenging the common perception that shellfish represent ‘famine food’.

**ICAZ Archaeomalacology Working Group, 3rd Independent Meeting 2012**
This AMWG meeting was held at Cairns, Queensland, Australia, from 18-23 June 2012.

Preliminary indications on the grapevine suggest that this meeting was a great success. Look out for a report in the next issue of the *AMG Newsletter*!

**Future conferences**

**Conference on the Bioarchaeology of Egypt**
This conference will be held at the American University in Cairo, Egypt, from 31 January to 2 February 2013.

Knowledge of ancient Egypt has long been based on its spectacular material culture, but increasing attention is now being given to the bioarchaeological remains that concern human health and nutrition, animal husbandry, agriculture and the natural environment. The second day of the conference will be divided equally between papers on archaeozoology and archaeobotany: topics may include anything in these fields relating to the archaeology of Egypt. For details, please see [http://conf.aucegypt.edu/BAE2013](http://conf.aucegypt.edu/BAE2013).

**Not just for show: The archaeology of beads, beadwork and personal ornaments**
This is the title of a symposium being organised by Daniella E. Bar-Yosef Mayer (Tel Aviv University) and Alice M. Choyke (Central European University) that will be held at the 78th Annual Meeting of the Society for American Archaeology in Honolulu, Hawaii, from 3-7 April 2013. More details of this meeting can be found on the SAA website at [http://saa.org/AbouttheSociety/AnnualMeeting](http://saa.org/AbouttheSociety/AnnualMeeting).

Beads, beadwork and personal ornaments are topics of growing interest. Recent research based on microscopy and various analytical procedures have enhanced the archaeological understanding of exchange networks, technological advances, mortuary practices, symbolism, and so on. Beads also have a fractal nature, meaning that ornaments can be broken up and redistributed to serve a variety of purposes related to social enchainment. Beads made of materials of biological origin, stones and minerals, and composite materials will be included. The geographical and chronological scope is open. The aim is to present cutting edge research on beads and personal ornaments using various approaches.

Please send your name and affiliation, and the title and abstract (no more than 250 words) of your paper to baryosef@post.tau.ac.il or choyke@ceu.hu by 15 August 2012.